

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,527	09/12/2003	Tetsuro Motoyama	241499US2CONT	5289	
22850	7590 04/25/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PRIETO, BEATRIZ		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2142		

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/660,527	MOTOYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Prieto Beatriz	2142		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					
Delete the filling of all Appeal Biller	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Prieto Beatriz	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing defended. 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replate of the final rejection.	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (y must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	on SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensio final Office action: or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b 	dension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS					
3. In the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better the application in better the process and the second secon		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a					
NOTE: Require more than nominal consideration.					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) □ wi wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			,		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but Reasons noted on item #3. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)			
···· <u> </u>		1	1		
		BEATRIZ PRIMARY E			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 042105

